## Appendix K: Scenario Planning Results

## Purpose

The Study Findings Tech Memo outlines the Key Performance Indicators (KPI) results and regional transportation system performance findings from the GHMS Scenario Planning exercise for both baseline and build scenarios.

## Baseline Scenarios

## Scenario 1: 2020 Existing Conditions

Scenario 2: Future Year (2050) No-Build Condition - only considers future transportation improvements that are already programmed for implementation and will be completed prior to 2050.

## Build Scenarios

Build scenarios were developed based anticipated implementation timeframe for various alternatives as follows:
Scenario 3: 2050 Long-Term Framework - acts as a "big-picture" guide to establish a future transportation vision with major infrastructure initiatives that will be implemented over a longer period (10+ years).

Scenario 4: Early Action Plus Mid-Term Improvements - to determine incremental benefits of projects that can either be implemented quickly ( $0-4$ years implementation timeframe) or within the next 10 years (mid-term).

Scenario 5: Full Build Scenario - an overarching scenario established to include all the identified projects in the GHMS Implementation Plan.

## Key Components

The Study Findings Tech Memo focuses on the following topics:

1. Establishment of Baseline Scenarios
2. Build Scenario Development Process and Definitions
3. Transportation System Benefits (Quantitative KPIs) by Scenario
4. Highlights of Transportation System Performance Improvements
5. Options for Customized Scenario Variations
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## Introduction

Several defining events of the current century, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, climate change, economic recessions, an influx of Connected and Automated Vehicle (CAV) technologies, ridesharing, smartphones, and digitalization are affecting demographic trends, travel behavior, land use, and transportation systems. To understand potential impacts of variations in these attributes on the region's transportation system, the GHMS team established a scenario planning tool that is built upon the Capital Region Council of Governments (CRCOG) travel demand model. This tool provides an effective way to understand cumulative benefits and impacts of program(s) of the regional improvements, and how these proposed multimodal improvements would perform as a system to yield both local and regional transportation benefits. The study findings reported in this technical memorandum are based on the outputs from the scenario planning tool for various scenarios of transportation improvements that have been established for the GHMS. The tool has established several Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) - see a separate Scenario Planning Baseline Memo for the detailed definitions of the established KPIs. It should be noted that while auto, transit bus and rail modes are incorporated in the scenario planning tool, active transportation modes (walking and bicycling) are not included as they are not incorporated in the CRCOG's travel demand model. As such, active transportation projects are qualitatively assessed outside of the scenario planning tool. The scenario planning tool will help regional transportation investment and policy decision makers explore future uncertainties and make better informed decisions about transportation funding allocation and investment priority areas.

## Baseline Scenarios

The baseline for developing scenario models was established with a beginning year of 2020 (Scenario 1 - 2020 Existing Condition), when the PEL Study began, and an anticipated future outlook year of 2050 (Scenario 2 - 2050 No-Build Condition). Scenario 2 models the infrastructure conditions of Scenario 1 with the addition of projects identified in the CTDOT Capital Plan with construction anticipated to be prior to 2050. Scenario 2 also utilizes adopted demographic projections for anticipated population and employment growth and their locations in the region.

These baseline scenarios are aligned with the CRCOG's travel demand model baseline scenarios as the Scenario Planning Tool (SPT) is built upon the CRCOG's travel demand model. The purpose of establishing these baseline scenarios is to establish a datum for comparing benefits achieved by several transportation improvement scenarios (Build Condition Scenarios) discussed later in the memorandum.

Key findings to be highlighted for the 2050 No-Build baseline scenario are as follows:

- Congestion and travel time deterioration - 2050 is projected to have significant increase in both travel times and congestion. For nearly 10\% more miles that are projected to be traveled in the study core compared to the existing conditions, time to travel those miles will be nearly $18 \%$ more, which reflects deteriorating congestion. Vehicle miles traveled on freeways in the study core under congested speed range (0-35 mph) will increase by nearly $35 \%$ or by 180,000 vehicle miles per day.
- Duration of congestion - Congestion is projected to last longer by over 30 minutes compared to existing conditions. For freight operation, delays are projected to increase by more than 90 minutes compared to the existing conditions.
- Lack of anticipated mode transfer - while the congestion is projected to be more severe and travel times will be longer, mode shift from auto to alternate modes of travel (transit, rail etc.) is not anticipated as the competitiveness of these alternate modes will not improve without significant improvements.
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See Appendix K-1 for the detailed KPI (Key Performance Index) findings for the 2020 Existing and 2050 No-Build baseline scenarios.

## Build Scenarios Development Process

Based on the anticipated timelines established in the GHMS implementation plan, the tool has been used to establish Future Build condition scenarios to assess for Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) associated with them.

Firstly a 2050 long-term improvements scenario was established to include key longer-term projects that would help in realizing the transportation vision established by the GHMS for the region. This long-term scenario (Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework) acts as a framework to guide major infrastructure initiatives including I-84/I-91 interchange relocation with a new Connecticut River crossing, lowered highway option for I-84 Viaduct, a new southern bridge crossing of Connecticut River primarily for local traffic and active transportation modes etc.

Once the framework scenario was established for longer-term transportation improvements, GHMS focused on identifying early action and mid-term projects that will have their independent utility for the region and will act as a step forward towards achieving the long-term improvements envisioned. A scenario with these projects was established (Scenario 4 -Early-Action plus Mid-Term Improvements) to determine immediate benefits that could be achieved over the 2050 baseline scenario.

In the end, an overarching scenario (Scenario 5 - Full Build) was established to include all the identified complimentary projects established through the GHMS detailed screening process. For any competing projects that could not be implemented together as they may either be required same project location or may bring similar benefits, the best project option was selected based on the detailed screening process outcome, public and stakeholder support, and support from the project's sponsor. As an example, the Griffin Corridor has been considered for multiple improvement options such as an active transportation only corridor (trail), a combination of freight rail and trail, a combination of passenger and freight rail, and a combination of bus-rapid transit and trail. However, a combination of freight rail and trail was considered in the implementation program as it received strongest support from the project sponsor, stakeholders, and general public.

As indicated earlier in this memorandum, active transportation (bicycle and pedestrian) projects could not be included in the scenario planning tool as they are not a part of the underlying CRCOG travel demand model. In addition, multiple highway, transit, and rail projects listed in the Table 1 below could not be included in the scenario planning tool due to various limitations of modeling tools and the scale of impacts.

Table 1: Projects Not Included in the Scenario Planning Tool

| Mode | Future Improvement Recommendations | Reason(s) for not including in Scenario Planning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tool |  |  |


| Mode | Future Improvement Recommendations | Reason(s) for not including in Scenario Planning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tool |  |  |

## 4 Study Findings

## Build Scenario Definitions

As discussed earlier, in addition to the baseline Existing Conditions and 2050 No-Build scenarios, three (3) future build scenarios were developed to assess the benefits and impacts the implementation of the Universe of Alternatives would have on the Study Area and Core.

The SPT was developed to only consider projects related to bus, rail, and highway infrastructure; therefore, the projects focusing on bicycle and pedestrian opportunities, as well as bus, rail, and highway amenities - such as shelters, rideshare pickup, and overnight parking - were not considered within the models.

## Scenario 3: 2050 Long-Term Framework

Scenario 3 encompasses all major recommendations and programs identified in the Study that are anticipated to have a development timeframe greater than 10 years, as detailed in Table 2.

Table 2: List of Long-Term Framework Projects

| Long-Term Framework Recommendations |
| :--- |
| Enhance Airport Service along CTtransit Route \#30 |
| Albany Avenue/Route 44 Reconfiguration Study |
| Connecticut River Rail Bridge |
| I-91/Route 2 Direct Connection |
| Mobility Hubs |
| New Crosstown Routes to Provide Circulation around Hartford |
| Bulkeley Bridge Conversion |
| Cap I-91, Hartford |
| I-84 Lowered Highway, Hartford |
| I-84/I-91 Interchange Relocation - Northern Alignment |
| New Connecticut River Bridge at Charter Oak Avenue/East River Drive |
| Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Expansion: Connecticut River Crossing |
| Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Expansion: North Corridor |
| Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Expansion: South Corridor |

Figure 1 shows general locations of recommendations included in the Long-Term Framework scenario. See Appendix K-2 for the detailed KPI findings for the 2050 Long-Term Framework scenario.

Figure 1: 2050-Long-Term Framework Recommendation Locations


## Scenario 4: Early Actions and Mid-Term Improvements

Early actions and mid-term improvements recommendations were identified as projects that would require smaller scale investments and had reduced complexity, which allows them to be completed within a 0-4-year and 5-10-year timeframe, respectively, following initiation. The recommendations identified are in Table 3 below.

Table 3: List of Early Action \& Mid-Term Recommendations

| List of Early Action \& Mid-Term Recommendations |
| :--- |
| I-91 Northbound Auxiliary Lane - Interchange 21 to 22 |
| Pulaski Circle Improvements |
| I-91 Southbound Capacity Improvements - Interchange 29 to 25 |
| Improve Evening Service in Transit Priority Areas |
| Enhance Service Frequency in Transit Priority Areas |
| Serve Major Employment Centers |

Figure 2 shows general locations of recommendations included in the Early Actions Framework, while Figure 3 shows the Mid-Term recommendation locations. See Appendix K-3 for the detailed KPI findings for the Early Actions Plus Mid-Term Improvements scenario.

Figure 2: Early Actions Recommendation Locations


Figure 3: Mid-Term Recommendation Locations


Table 4: List of Recommendations for 2050 Full Build Scenario

| 2050 Full Build Scenario Recommendations |
| :--- |
| I-91 Northbound Auxiliary Lane - Interchange 21 to 22 |
| Pulaski Circle Improvements |
| I-91 Southbound Capacity Improvements between Interchange 29 to 25 |
| Regional Freeway Interchange Completion - I-84/Route 4 Connector |
| I-84/Route 6/Route 4/Route 9 Improvements |
| Improve Evening Service in Transit Priority Areas |
| Enhance Service Frequency in Transit Priority Areas |
| Serve Major Employment Centers |
| Enhance Airport Service along CTtransit Route \#30 |
| Albany Avenue/Route 44 Reconfiguration Study |
| Connecticut River Rail Bridge |
| I-91/Route 2 Direct Connection |
| Mobility Hubs |
| New Crosstown Routes to Provide Circulation around Hartford |
| New Rail Station in Newington |
| Bulkeley Bridge Conversion |
| Cap I-91, Hartford |
| I-84 Lowered Highway, Hartford |
| I-84/I-91 Interchange Relocation- Northern Alignment |
| Bew Connecticut River Bridge at Charter Oak Avenue \& East River Drive |
| Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Expansion: Connecticut River Crossing |

Figure 3 shows general locations of recommendations included in the 2050 Full Build scenario. See Appendix K-4 for the detailed KPI findings for the 2050 Full Build scenario.

Figure 3: 2050 Full Build Scenario Recommendation Locations
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## Transportation System Performance Improvement Highlights

The future build scenarios showed clear mobility and other benefits as follows:

## Improved Mobility:

KPI: Travel Time Index - ratio of peak period travel time to free flow travel time

|  | Existing | 2050 No-Build | Early-Actions \& Mid-Term <br> Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full <br> Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core | 1.72 | 1.88 | 1.86 | 1.54 | 1.52 |
| Overall Study Area | 1.57 | 1.73 | 1.72 | 1.60 | 1.59 |

What does this mean?

- Congestion levels would increase with no action (No-Build) and there would be significant impacts on travel times, especially in the study core.
- Travel time within the study core would significantly improve with the proposed improvements both compared to the Existing Condition and 2050 No-Build condition, despite projected future demographic growth and increased VMT.

Figure 4: Travel Time Index


KPI: Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT)

|  | Existing | 2050 No-Build | Early-Actions \& Mid-Term <br> Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full <br> Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core - VMT <br> (thousands) | 3,301 | 3,625 | 3,622 | 3,783 | 3,779 |
| Overall Study Area - <br> VMT (thousands) | 12,828 | 14,234 | 14,259 | 14,335 | 14,341 |
| Study Core - VHT <br> (thousands) | 104 | 122 | 121 | 420 | 112 |
| Overall Study Area - <br> VHT (thousands) | 364 | 435 | 434 | 418 |  |

## What does this mean?

- 2050 Full Build scenario VHT decreases significantly compared to 2050 No-Build despite minor increase ( $<1 \%$ ) in the study area VMT indicating reduced congestion and increased mobility.

Figure 5: Reduced Congestion and Improved Mobility


KPI: Peak-Hour Miles Travelled under Congested Conditions (percent of freeway VMT by travel speed (o to 35 mph ) during peak hours.

|  | Existing | 2050 No- <br> Build |  <br> Mid-Term <br> Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full <br> Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core | $26 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Overall Study <br> Area | $27 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

## What does this mean?

- The proposed 2050 Full Build improvement program would slightly increase freeway VMT in future compared to the existing conditions in the study core due to longer l-84 alignment but would reduce more than 86,000 vehicle miles traveled under congested condition.
- For the overall study area, while there will be some reduction (2.6\%) in VMT under congested conditions compared to the Future No-Build, it is not as significant as study core where most of the improvements are located resulting in significant congestion reduction.

Figure 6: Peak Period VMT under Congested Conditions


## Improved Travel Times:

The following travel time isochrones clearly show reduced travel times to the core, with significantly increased population within 15 - and 30-minute travel time range from the core.


Travel Time (Mins)


2050 Full-Build Comparison w/2050 No-Build

Nearly 93,000 increased population (34.5\% increase) within 15-Min travel isochrone from the center

Nearly 75,000 increased population (10.5\% increase) within 30-Min travel isochrone from the center

## Improved Truck Freight Operation:

KPI: Total Daily Truck Miles Travelled and average number of truck delay hours on a typical weekday.

|  | Existing | 2050 No- <br> Build |  <br> Mid-Term <br> Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core - Daily <br> Truck VMT <br> (thousands) | 425 | 431 | 433 | 464 | 468 |
| Study Area - Daily <br> Truck VMT <br> (thousands) | 1,403 | 1,436 | 1,429 | 1,475 | 1,484 |
| Study Core - Daily <br> Truck Delay Hours | 9.52 | 11.18 | 10.66 | 9.84 | 9.18 |
| Study Area - Daily <br> Truck Delay Hours | 13.12 | 14.94 | 14.97 | 13.98 | 13.88 |

## What does this mean?

- While daily truck VMT within the study core and overall study area increases due to longer alignment of I-84, truck delays reduce significantly indicating improved freight mobility and reduced congestion.

Figure 7: Daily Truck Delay in Study Core


## Multimodal Options:

KPI: Transit Utilization - Percentage of daily transit person trips over daily total person trips.

|  | Existing | 2050 No- <br> Build |  <br> Mid-Term <br> Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full <br> Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core | $4.55 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ | $4.39 \%$ | $4.43 \%$ | $4.63 \%$ |
| Overall Study <br> Area | $2.08 \%$ | $1.91 \%$ | $2.02 \%$ | $2.02 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |

## What does this mean?

- While percentage transit mode share is likely to only slightly increase, transit improvements provide redundant travel options and may help reverse the declining trend of transit utilization.
- Service frequency and evening service enhancements along with expansion of CTfastrak routes would help improve transit utilization compared to the current level of transit service.

Figure 8: Transit Utilization in Study Core vs Study Area


KPI: Transit Utilization - Daily Trips by Transit Mode.

|  | Existing | $\mathbf{2 0 5 0}$ No- <br> Build | Early-Actions \& Mid- <br> Term Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core | 24,990 | 24,943 | 25,768 | 25,997 | 27,167 |
| Overall Study <br> Area | 36,487 | 37,457 | 39,504 | 39,625 | 43,037 |

## What does this mean?

- With service frequency and service duration improvements as well as FastTrak expansions, daily transit trips show increasing trends in transit ridership.
- It should be noted that the scenario planning tool (based on limitations of a travel demand model) cannot reflect benefits of potential policy changes like TOD, micro-transit, MaaS etc. which would help further boost transit utilization.

Figure 9: Daily Transit Trips in Study Core vs Study Area


## Equity Considerations:

KPI: Work Trip Sheds - percent of work trips less than 30 minutes originating from EJ (Environmental Justice) population prevalent TAZs (Traffic Analysis Zones).

|  | Existing | 2050 No- <br> Build | Early-Actions \& Mid- <br> Term Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core | $94.92 \%$ | $94.27 \%$ | $94.45 \%$ | $94.14 \%$ | $94.23 \%$ |
| Overall Study <br> Area | $92.39 \%$ | $91.50 \%$ | $91.61 \%$ | $91.46 \%$ | $91.56 \%$ |

KPI: Commuting costs as a \% of income - average annual cost for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income.

## What does this mean?

- Proposed improvements do not show adverse and/or disproportionate impacts in terms of commute times and cost of commuting in EJ population areas.
- Increased transit options in terms of frequency, evening service and access to employment centers help people who do not have access to personal autos.

|  | Existing | 2050 No- <br> Build | Early-Actions \& Mid-Term <br> Improvements | 2050 LT- <br> Framework | 2050 Full Build |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Study Core | $5.40 \%$ | $5.36 \%$ | $5.36 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ | $5.25 \%$ |
| Overall Study <br> Area | $5.37 \%$ | $5.24 \%$ | $5.24 \%$ | $5.18 \%$ | $5.18 \%$ |

## Customized Scenario Testing

The benefit of the scenario planning tool has been in its ability to test impacts of variations in key attributes, such as user behavior, technological advancements, policy changes etc., that influence travel outcomes.

The Covid-19 pandemic has changed the perception about effectiveness of alternate work options, such as telecommuting. More and more people have been gravitating towards hybrid work schedules that combine both working from home and working from employment locations, a post-pandemic "new normal".

The GHMS project team tested a variation scenario that considered how the previously established Scenario 3 (2050 LongTerm Framework) would perform with an assumption that by 2050 there will be $30 \%$ telecommuting on a regular basis.

Appendix K-5 shows the detailed KPI findings for comparing the 2050 Long-Term Framework scenario with if the same scenario assumed $30 \%$ telecommute for appropriate employment classifications. The results help to understand how the
exact same transportation infrastructure improvements program could yield different system performance outcomes if travel behavior changes significantly.

Another application of the scenario planning tool is to understand independent utility and impacts/benefits of a major stand-alone recommendation in the region. To that effect, the GHMS team tested stand-alone benefits of the City Link East component over the 2020 Existing Conditions if no other improvement is implemented.

Appendix K-6 shows the detailed KPI findings for the City Link East component performance when compared to the 2020 existing conditions. The KPI findings were helpful to understand that the proposed extension of Route 2 to connect with I-91 with a new bridge crossing would not result in more induced travel demand. Instead, it will provide significant operational improvements by rerouting certain travel movements, thereby reducing complex weaving movements and congestion at the current I-84/I-91 interchange location.

## Appendix K-1: Baseline Scenario - Key Performance Findings

A. For Overall Study Area

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.57 | 1.73 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph) | 26.86\% | 36.60\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 5.81\% | 8.69\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 6.47 | 6.84 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 2.08\% | 1.91\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.94\% | 16.14\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 5.46\% | 5.12\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 54.18\% | 53.80\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 21.0 | 21.7 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 9.51 | 9.46 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$9,243 | \$9,320 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.04\% | 4.82\% |
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| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 73\% | 72\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 49\% | 47\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 22\% | 22\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5-mile distance from highways) | 44\% | 45\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15 -minute transit ride) | 8.69\% | 7.69\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 15,376 | 17,034 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 774 | 849 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 92.39\% | 91.58\% |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 64\% | 63\% |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.37\% | 5.24\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |
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| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 17,193 | 16,344 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 39\% | 37\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | - | 0.47 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 8.45 | 8.89 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.37\% | 10.38\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 20\% | 20\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 48\% | 46\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | N/A | \$6,832,670 |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 10.29\% | 9.49\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 9.15\% | 8.49\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$19.42 | \$19.37 |

[^0]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 13.12 | 14.94 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$11.12 | \$11.29 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 83 | 109 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 206 | 320 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 854,058 | 1,261,826 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 1,681,528 | 1,606,486 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 643,978 | 579,640 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 2,175,413 | 2,442,652 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 950,747 | 1,052,887 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 36,487 | 37,453 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 15,975 | 16,083 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 7,504 | 7,337 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 85,896 | 83,468 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 198,683 | 206,317 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 116,485 | 201,028 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 1,402,618 | 1,437,589 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 544,025 | 560,146 |

[^1]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$716,400,618 | \$778,852,022 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 84,165 | 160,250 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 12,828,007 | 14,233,574 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 364,142 | 435,160 |

B. For Study Core - Hartford and East Hartford

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.88 | 1.88 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 32.28\% | 32.28\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 11.21\% | 11.21\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.99 | 5.99 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.55\% | 4.25\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.64\% | 15.76\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.88\% | 11.27\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.94\% | 61.28\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.4 | 20.9 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.72 | 7.67 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$8,018 | \$7,999 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.41\% | 5.48\% |

vii Appendix K-1: Baseline Scenario - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 82\% | 83\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 28\% | 28\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5-mile distance from highways) | 51\% | 53\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15 -minute transit ride) | 12.04\% | 11.20\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 7,228 | 8,065 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 445 | 493 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.92 | 94.41 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 0.68 | 0.67 |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.40\% | 5.36\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |

viii Appendix K-1: Baseline Scenario - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,238 | 19,909 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 27\% | 25\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.46 | 0.48 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 24.21 | 19.15 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 9.95\% | 10.86\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 50\% | 48\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | - | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 11.39\% | 10.55\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 10.26\% | 9.53\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.28 |

ix Appendix K-1: Baseline Scenario - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.52 | 11.19 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.29 | \$8.46 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 29 | 39 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 82 | 110 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 249,910 | 336,015 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 384,854 | 419,884 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 329,150 | 285,170 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 583,081 | 644,697 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 340,531 | 359,791 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 24,990 | 24,932 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 11,192 | 10,936 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 19,619 | 19,974 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 118,965 | 121,676 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 223,511 | 235,786 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 40,318 | 70,068 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 424,668 | 431,433 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 163,975 | 167,405 |

[^2]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future No-Build Condition (2050) |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$179,008,942 | \$187,710,716 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 57,959 | 112,433 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 3,300,536 | 3,624,806 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 103,706 | 121,746 |

xi Appendix K-1: Baseline Scenario - Key Performance Findings

## Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (2050 Long-Term Framework) Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.57 | 1.73 | 1.60 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 26.86\% | 36.60\% | 35.34\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 5.81\% | 8.69\% | 8.32\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 6.47 | 6.84 | 6.70 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 2.08\% | 1.91\% | 2.02\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.94\% | 16.14\% | 16.03\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 5.46\% | 5.12\% | 5.49\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 54.18\% | 53.80\% | 53.86\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.1 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 9.51 | 9.46 | 9.49 |

[^3]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$9,243 | \$9,320 | \$9,319 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.04\% | 4.82\% | 5.48\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 73\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 49\% | 47\% | 61\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15 -minute transit ride) | 8.69\% | 7.69\% | 10.03\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 15,376 | 17,034 | 12,406 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 774 | 849 | 501 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 92.39\% | 91.58\% | 91.46\% |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 64\% | 63\% | 64\% |

xiv Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.37\% | 5.24\% | 5.18\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,189 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,982 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 17,193 | 16,344 | 16,372 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 39\% | 37\% | 39\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | - | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 8.45 | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.37\% | 10.38\% | 10.38\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |

xv Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 48\% | 46\% | 47\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | N/A | \$6,832,670 | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 10.29\% | 9.49\% | 9.66\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 9.15\% | 8.49\% | 8.65\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$19.42 | \$19.37 | \$19.45 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 13.12 | 14.94 | 13.98 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$11.12 | \$11.29 | \$11.16 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |

[^4]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 83 | 109 | 101 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 206 | 320 | 315 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 854,058 | 1,261,826 | 1,221,833 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph$)$ | 1,681,528 | 1,606,486 | 1,611,486 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 643,978 | 579,640 | 623,905 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 2,175,413 | 2,442,652 | 2,447,905 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 950,747 | 1,052,887 | 1,054,017 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 36,487 | 37,453 | 39,625 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 15,975 | 16,083 | 17,253 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 7,504 | 7,337 | 9,582 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 85,896 | 83,468 | 108,894 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 198,683 | 206,317 | 229,861 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 116,485 | 201,028 | 228,344 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 1,402,618 | 1,437,589 | 1,474,713 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 544,025 | 560,146 | 574,890 |

xvii Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$716,400,618 | \$778,852,022 | \$769,674,720 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 84,165 | 160,250 | 159,968 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 12,828,007 | 14,233,574 | 14,334,546 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 364,142 | 435,160 | 419,900 |

xviii Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings
A. For Overall Study Area

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.57 | 1.73 | 1.60 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 26.86\% | 36.60\% | 35.34\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 5.81\% | 8.69\% | 8.32\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 6.47 | 6.84 | 6.70 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 2.08\% | 1.91\% | 2.02\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.94\% | 16.14\% | 16.03\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 5.46\% | 5.12\% | 5.49\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 54.18\% | 53.80\% | 53.86\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |

xix Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & (2020) \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.1 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 9.51 | 9.46 | 9.49 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$9,243 | \$9,320 | \$9,319 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.04\% | 4.82\% | 5.48\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 73\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs + retail) | 49\% | 47\% | 61\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15 -minute transit ride) | 8.69\% | 7.69\% | 10.03\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 15,376 | 17,034 | 12,406 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 774 | 849 | 501 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 92.39\% | 91.58\% | 91.46\% |

[^5]| ID | Indicator |  | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walkable access to office, retail and transit) | 64\% | 63\% | 64\% |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.37\% | 5.24\% | 5.18\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,189 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,982 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 17,193 | 16,344 | 16,372 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 39\% | 37\% | 39\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | - | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 8.45 | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.37\% | 10.38\% | 10.38\% |

[^6]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & (2020) \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area. | 48\% | 46\% | 47\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | N/A | \$6,832,670 | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 10.29\% | 9.49\% | 9.66\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 9.15\% | 8.49\% | 8.65\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$19.42 | \$19.37 | \$19.45 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 13.12 | 14.94 | 13.98 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$11.12 | \$11.29 | \$11.16 |

[^7]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 83 | 109 | 101 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 206 | 320 | 315 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 854,058 | 1,261,826 | 1,221,833 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 1,681,528 | 1,606,486 | 1,611,486 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 643,978 | 579,640 | 623,905 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 2,175,413 | 2,442,652 | 2,447,905 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 950,747 | 1,052,887 | 1,054,017 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 36,487 | 37,453 | 39,625 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 15,975 | 16,083 | 17,253 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 7,504 | 7,337 | 9,582 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 85,896 | 83,468 | 108,894 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 198,683 | 206,317 | 229,861 |

xxiii Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 116,485 | 201,028 | 228,344 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 1,402,618 | 1,437,589 | 1,474,713 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 544,025 | 560,146 | 574,890 |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$716,400,618 | \$778,852,022 | \$769,674,720 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 84,165 | 160,250 | 159,968 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 12,828,007 | 14,233,574 | 14,334,546 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 364,142 | 435,160 | 419,900 |

B. For Study Core - Hartford and East Hartford

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.72 | 1.88 | 1.54 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 25.93\% | 32.28\% | 28.98\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 8.39\% | 11.21\% | 10.27\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.45 | 5.99 | 5.59 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.55\% | 4.25\% | 4.43\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.64\% | 15.76\% | 15.54\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.88\% | 11.27\% | 11.87\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.94\% | 61.28\% | 61.37\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.4 | 20.9 | 20.0 |

xxv Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.72 | 7.67 | 7.67 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$8,018 | \$7,999 | \$7,996 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.41\% | 5.48\% | 6.44\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs + retail) | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 28\% | 28\% | 66\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 51\% | 53\% | 52\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 12.04\% | 11.20\% | 13.86\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 7,228 | 8,065 | 3,479 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 445 | 493 | 148 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.92 | 94.41 | 94.14 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.68 |

xxvi Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.40\% | 5.36\% | 5.26 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,189 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,982 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,238 | 19,909 | 20,030 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 27\% | 25\% | 26\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 24.21 | 19.15 | 19.15 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 9.95\% | 10.86\% | 10.86\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |

xxvii Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 50\% | 48\% | 51\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | - | TBD | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 11.39\% | 10.55\% | 10.92\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 10.26\% | 9.53\% | 9.88\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.28 | \$17.28 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.52 | 11.19 | 9.84 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.29 | \$8.46 | \$8.24 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |


| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 29 | 39 | 36 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 82 | 110 | 108 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 249,910 | 336,015 | 309,223 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 384,854 | 419,884 | 419,657 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 19,619 | 19,974 | 25,628 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 118,965 | 121,676 | 150,510 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 223,511 | 235,786 | 262,427 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 40,318 | 70,068 | 83,101 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 424,668 | 431,433 | 464,377 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 163,975 | 167,405 | 181,656 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 19,619 | 19,974 | 25,628 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 118,965 | 121,676 | 150,510 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 223,511 | 235,786 | 262,427 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 40,318 | 70,068 | 83,101 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 424,668 | 431,433 | 464,377 |

xxix Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$179,008,942 | \$187,710,716 | \$182,846,267 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 57,959 | 112,433 | 112,063 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 3,300,536 | 3,624,806 | 3,782,685 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 103,706 | 121,746 | 111,966 |

xxx Appendix K-2: Scenario 3 (Long-Term Framework) - Key Performance Findings

## Appendlx K-3: Scenarlo 4 (Early Actlons * MId-Term Recommendations) -Key Performance Findings

A. For Overall Study Area

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.57 | 1.73 | 1.72 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 26.86\% | 36.60\% | 35.37\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 5.81\% | 8.69\% | 8.77\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 6.47 | 6.84 | 6.81 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 2.08\% | 1.91\% | 2.02\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.94\% | 16.14\% | 16.11\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 5.46\% | 5.12\% | 5.14\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 54.18\% | 53.80\% | 53.83\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.7 |

xxxii Appendix K-3: Scenario 4 (Early Actions + Mid-Term Recommendations) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 9.51 | 9.46 | 9.46 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$9,243 | \$9,320 | \$9,320 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.04\% | 4.82\% | 5.22\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 73\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs + retail) | 49\% | 47\% | 47\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 8.69\% | 7.69\% | 7.67\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 15,376 | 17,034 | 16,891 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 774 | 849 | 843 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 92.39\% | 91.58\% | 91.61\% |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walkable access to office, retail and transit) | 64\% | 63\% | 63\% |

xxxiii Appendix K-3: Scenario 4 (Early Actions + Mid-Term Recommendations) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.37\% | 5.24\% | 5.24 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,218 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,982 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 17,193 | 16,344 | 16,240 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 39\% | 37\% | 39\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | - | 0.47 | - |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 8.45 | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.37\% | 10.38\% | 10.38\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |

xxxiv Appendix K-3: Scenario 4 (Early Actions + Mid-Term Recommendations) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 48\% | 46\% | 46\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | N/A | \$6,832,670 | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 10.29\% | 9.49\% | 9.50\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 9.15\% | 8.49\% | 8.50\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$19.42 | \$19.37 | \$19.38 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 13.12 | 14.94 | 15.03 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$11.12 | \$11.29 | \$11.29 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |

[^8]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 83 | 109 | 104 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 206 | 320 | 319 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 854,058 | 1,261,826 | 1,087,171 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 1,681,528 | 1,606,486 | 1,469,718 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 643,978 | 579,640 | 516,643 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 2,175,413 | 2,442,652 | 2,425,042 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 950,747 | 1,052,887 | 1,053,506 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 36,487 | 37,453 | 39,504 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 15,975 | 16,083 | 16,125 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 7,504 | 7,337 | 7,048 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 85,896 | 83,468 | 83,342 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 198,683 | 206,317 | 206,943 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 116,485 | 201,028 | 192,655 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 1,402,618 | 1,437,589 | 1,429,334 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 544,025 | 560,146 | 557,218 |

xxxvi Appendix K-3: Scenario 4 (Early Actions + Mid-Term Recommendations) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$716,400,618 | \$778,852,022 | \$779,030,696 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 84,165 | 160,250 | 160,301 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 12,828,007 | 14,233,574 | 14,258,726 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 364,142 | 435,160 | 434,257 |

B. For Study Core - Hartford and East Hartford

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.72 | 1.88 | 1.86 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 25.93\% | 32.28\% | 31.24\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 8.39\% | 11.21\% | 10.78\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.45 | 5.99 | 5.86 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.22 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.55\% | 4.25\% | 4.39\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.64\% | 15.76\% | 15.73\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.88\% | 11.27\% | 11.30\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.94\% | 61.28\% | 61.33\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.4 | 20.9 | 20.9 |

xxxviii Appendix K-3: Scenario 4 (Early Actions + Mid-Term Recommendations) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.72 | 7.67 | 7.67 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$8,018 | \$7,999 | \$7,999 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.41\% | 5.48\% | 6.85\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs + retail) | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 28\% | 28\% | 27\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 51\% | 53\% | 53\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 12.04\% | 11.20\% | 11.17\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 7,228 | 8,065 | 8,018 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 445 | 493 | 491 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.92 | 94.41 | 94.45 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail and transit) | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.67 |

[^9]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.40\% | 5.36\% | 5.36\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,218 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,982 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,238 | 19,909 | 19,751 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 27\% | 25\% | 26\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 24.21 | 19.15 | 19.15 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 9.95\% | 10.86\% | 10.86\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |

[^10]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 50\% | 48\% | 48\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | - | TBD | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 11.39\% | 10.55\% | 10.59\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 10.26\% | 9.53\% | 9.58\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.28 | \$17.30 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.52 | 11.19 | 10.66 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.29 | \$8.46 | \$8.45 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |

[^11]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 29 | 39 | 38 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 82 | 110 | 105 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 249,910 | 336,015 | 171,565 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 384,854 | 419,884 | 289,488 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 329,150 | 285,170 | 218,673 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 583,081 | 644,697 | 643,356 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 340,531 | 359,791 | 360,065 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 24,990 | 24,932 | 25,768 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 11,192 | 10,936 | 10,962 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 19,619 | 19,974 | 19,393 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 118,965 | 121,676 | 121,342 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 223,511 | 235,786 | 236,939 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 40,318 | 70,068 | 56,092 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 424,668 | 431,433 | 433,488 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 163,975 | 167,405 | 168,058 |

xlii Appendix K-3: Scenario 4 (Early Actions + Mid-Term Recommendations) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$179,008,942 | \$187,710,716 | \$187,667,602 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 57,959 | 112,433 | 112,480 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 3,300,536 | 3,624,806 | 3,621,688 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 103,706 | 121,746 | 121,393 |

## Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Bulld) - Key Performance Findings

A. For Overall Study Area

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.72 | 1.88 | 1.52 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 25.93\% | 32.28\% | 27.02\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 8.39\% | 11.21\% | 10.27\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.45 | 5.99 | 5.48 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.55\% | 4.25\% | 4.63\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.64\% | 15.76\% | 15.52\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.88\% | 11.27\% | 12.35\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.94\% | 61.28\% | 61.46\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.4 | 20.9 | 20.1 |

[^12]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.72 | 7.67 | 7.68 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$8,018 | \$7,999 | \$7,996 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.41\% | 5.48\% | 6.37\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs + retail) | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 28\% | 28\% | 66\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 51\% | 53\% | 53\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 12.04\% | 11.20\% | 13.96\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 7,228 | 8,065 | 3,460 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 445 | 493 | 144 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.92 | 94.41 | 94.23 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walkable access to office, retail and transit) | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.69 |

xlvi Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.40\% | 5.36\% | 5.25\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,236 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,994 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,238 | 19,909 | 20,099 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 27\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 24.21 | 19.15 | 19.15 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 9.95\% | 10.86\% | 10.86\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |

xlvii Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 50\% | 48\% | 51\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | - | TBD | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 11.39\% | 10.55\% | 11.01\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 10.26\% | 9.53\% | 9.96\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.28 | \$17.30 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.52 | 11.19 | 9.18 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.29 | \$8.46 | \$8.23 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |

xlviii Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 29 | 39 | 35 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 82 | 110 | 108 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 249,910 | 336,015 | 289,280 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph$)$ | 384,854 | 419,884 | 426,675 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 329,150 | 285,170 | 354,616 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 583,081 | 644,697 | 658,815 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 340,531 | 359,791 | 360,816 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 24,990 | 24,932 | 27,167 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 11,192 | 10,936 | 11,985 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 19,619 | 19,974 | 21,874 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 118,965 | 121,676 | 151,639 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 223,511 | 235,786 | 274,663 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 40,318 | 70,068 | 82,477 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 424,668 | 431,433 | 467,644 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 163,975 | 167,405 | 182,932 |
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| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$179,008,942 | \$187,710,716 | \$182,795,741 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 57,959 | 112,433 | 112,166 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 3,300,536 | 3,624,806 | 3,778,744 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 103,706 | 121,746 | 111,503 |

I Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings
B. For Study Core - Hartford and East Hartford

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.72 | 1.88 | 1.52 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph ) | 25.93\% | 32.28\% | 27.02\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 8.39\% | 11.21\% | 10.27\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.45 | 5.99 | 5.48 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.55\% | 4.25\% | 4.63\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.64\% | 15.76\% | 15.52\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.88\% | 11.27\% | 12.35\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.94\% | 61.28\% | 61.46\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.4 | 20.9 | 20.1 |

[^13]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.72 | 7.67 | 7.68 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$8,018 | \$7,999 | \$7,996 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.41\% | 5.48\% | 6.37\% |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs + retail) | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 28\% | 28\% | 66\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 51\% | 53\% | 53\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15 -minute transit ride) | 12.04\% | 11.20\% | 13.96\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 7,228 | 8,065 | 3,460 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 445 | 493 | 144 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.92 | 94.41 | 94.23 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$ walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.69 |

[^14]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.40\% | 5.36\% | 5.25\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 24,194 | 24,236 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 10,972 | 10,994 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,238 | 19,909 | 20,099 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 27\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 24.21 | 19.15 | 19.15 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 9.95\% | 10.86\% | 10.86\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |  |

liii Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 50\% | 48\% | 51\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | - | TBD | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 11.39\% | 10.55\% | 11.01\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 10.26\% | 9.53\% | 9.96\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.28 | \$17.30 |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.52 | 11.19 | 9.18 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.29 | \$8.46 | \$8.23 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |  |

[^15]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 - Existing (2020) | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 29 | 39 | 35 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 82 | 110 | 108 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 249,910 | 336,015 | 289,280 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 384,854 | 419,884 | 426,675 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 329,150 | 285,170 | 354,616 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 583,081 | 644,697 | 658,815 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 340,531 | 359,791 | 360,816 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 24,990 | 24,932 | 27,167 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 11,192 | 10,936 | 11,985 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 19,619 | 19,974 | 21,874 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 118,965 | 121,676 | 151,639 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 223,511 | 235,786 | 274,663 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 40,318 | 70,068 | 82,477 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 424,668 | 431,433 | 467,644 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 163,975 | 167,405 | 182,932 |

Iv Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - Study Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scenario } 1 \text { - Existing } \\ & \text { (2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario 2 - Future NoBuild Condition (2050) | Scenario 3-2050 Long-Term Framework |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$179,008,942 | \$187,710,716 | \$182,795,741 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 57,959 | 112,433 | 112,166 |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 3,300,536 | 3,624,806 | 3,778,744 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 103,706 | 121,746 | 111,503 |

Ivi Appendix K-4: Scenario 5 (2050 Full Build) - Key Performance Findings

## Appendix K-5: 2050 Long-Term Framework with 30\% Telecommute Variation - Key Performance Findings

A. For Overall Study Area

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% <br> Telecommute |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour') to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.60 | 1.47 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph) | 35.34\% | 26.49\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 8.32\% | 5.10\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 6.70 | 1.81 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 2.02\% | 1.94\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 16.03\% | 17.24\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 5.49\% | 6.25\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 53.86\% | 55.61\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 21.1 | 19.84 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 9.49 | 10.80 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$9,319 | \$10,967.05 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 5.48\% | 4.98\% |

Iviii Appendix K-5: Scenario Long Term Framework with 30\% Telecommute Variation - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 72\% | 72\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 61\% | 61\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 22\% | 22\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 45\% | 45\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 10.03\% | 10.49\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 12,406 | TBD |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 501 | TBD |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 91.46\% | 91.46\% |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 64\% | 64\% |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.18\% | 5.23\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 24,189 | 22,293 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 10,982 | 10,155 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |

lix Appendix K-5: Scenario Long Term Framework with 30\% Telecommute Variation - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 16,372 | 16,367 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 39\% | 36\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.47 | - |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.38\% | 10.38\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 20\% | 20\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 47\% | 47\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | TBD | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 9.66\% | 11.02\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 8.65\% | 10.18\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$19.45 | \$19.43 |

Ix Appendix K-5: Scenario Long Term Framework with 30\% Telecommute Variation - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 13.98 | 12.46 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$11.16 | \$10.72 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 101 | 52 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 315 | 198 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 1,221,833 | 831,560 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 1,611,486 | 1,606,254 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 623,905 | 701,886 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 2,447,905 | 2,390,001 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 1,054,017 | 1,035,900 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 39,625 | 36,134 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 17,253 | 13,736 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 9,582 | 9,582 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 108,894 | 108,894 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 229,861 | 229,861 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 228,344 | 191,432 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 1,474,713 | 1,526,970 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 574,890 | 597,289 |

[^16]| ID |  | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results - <br> Study Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Scenario 3A - Long- <br> Term Framework <br> Term Framework <br> (2050) |
|  |  |  |

Ixii Appendix K-5: Scenario Long Term Framework with 30\% Telecommute Variation - Key Performance Findings
B. For Study Core - Hartford and East Hartford

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.54 | 1.40 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph) | 28.98\% | 25.79\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 10.27\% | 6.89\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.59 | 0.83 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.43\% | 4.23\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.54\% | 16.66\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.87\% | 13.01\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.37\% | 62.66\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.0 | 18.89 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.67 | 9.31 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$7,996 | \$9,721 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 6.44\% | 5.68\% |

[^17]| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 83\% | 83\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 66\% | 66\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 52\% | 52\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 13.86\% | 13.97\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 3,479 | TBD |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 148 | TBD |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.14 | 59.12 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 0.68 | TBD |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.26 | 3.12 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 24,189 | 22,298 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 10,982 | 10,155 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |

Ixiv Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,030 | 20,013 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 26\% | 24\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.48 | - |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 19.15 | 19.15 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.86\% | 10.86\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 51\% | 51\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | TBD | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 10.92\% | 12.45\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 9.88\% | 11.62\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.27 |

Ixv Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.84 | 8.21 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.24 | \$7.09 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 36 | 19 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 108 | 75 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 309,223 | 250,007 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 419,657 | 342,951 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 337,999 | 376,503 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 660,365 | 642,563 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 360,295 | 351,908 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 25,997 | 23,782 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 11,519 | 9,309 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 25,628 | 25,628 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 150,510 | 150,510 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 262,427 | 262,427 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 83,101 | 67,598 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 464,377 | 480,262 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 181,656 | 188,647 |

Ixvi Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$182,846,267 | TBD |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 112,063 | TBD |

## Appendix K-6: City Link East-Key Performance Findings

A. For Overall Study Area

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour") to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.57 | 1.52 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph) | 26.86\% | 23.26\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 5.81\% | 5.18\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 6.47 | 6.27 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 2.08\% | 2.05\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.94\% | 16.21\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 5.46\% | 5.66\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVs, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 54.18\% | 54.76\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 21.0 | 20.5 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 9.51 | 9.52 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$9,243 | \$9,241 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.04\% | 3.13\% |

Ixix Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 73\% | 73\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 49\% | 47\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 22\% | 22\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 44\% | 45\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15-minute transit ride) | 8.69\% | 9.39\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 15,376 | 14,867 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 774 | 749 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 92.39\% | 92.94\% |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 64\% | 63\% |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.37\% | 4.77\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 74,413 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 15,704 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |

Ixx Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 17,193 | 17,296 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 39\% | 38\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | - | - |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 8.45 | 8.45 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 10.37\% | 10.37\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 20\% | 20\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 48\% | 50\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | N/A | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 10.29\% | 10.80\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 9.15\% | 9.72\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$19.42 | \$19.44 |

Ixxi Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 13.12 | 12.60 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | - |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$11.12 | \$9.85 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | - |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 83 | 69 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 206 | 187 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 854,058 | 716,136 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 1,681,528 | 1,609,863 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 643,978 | 753,455 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 2,175,413 | 2,149,738 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 950,747 | 944,835 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 36,487 | 35,425 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 15,975 | 14,906 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 7,504 | 7,792 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 85,896 | 92,788 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 198,683 | 204,331 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 116,485 | 117,769 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 1,402,618 | 1,431,776 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 544,025 | 556,701 |

Ixxii Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$716,400,618 | \$634,552,059 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 84,165 | TBD |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 12,828,007 | 12,470,072 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 364,142 | 343,896 |

B. For Study Core - Hartford and East Hartford

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results -Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| MOBILITY |  |  |  |
| M1 | Congestion |  |  |
| M1-1 | Travel Time Index (the ratio of the peak-period travel time ("rush hour') to free-flow travel time (when traffic flows at the speed limit) | 1.72 | 1.64 |
| M1-2 | Freeway Peak-Hour Speed 0-35mph (percentage of freeway VMT by travel speed 0 to 35 mph) | 25.93\% | 26.89\% |
| M1-3 | Reduction in System Reliability (percentage of lane miles with a LOS D, E or F during peak periods) | 8.39\% | 7.86\% |
| M1-4 | Duration of Congestion (the average number of hours during a typical weekday in which road sections are congested. | 5.45 | 5.10 |
| M2 | Multimodal Options |  |  |
| M2-1 | Transit Facility Coverage (number of transit stops per 1000 Population (per capita)) | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| M2-2 | Transit Utilization (Percentage of daily transit person trips/daily total person trips) | 4.55\% | 4.49\% |
| M2-3 | Ridesharing/Carpooling Utilization (percent of VMT in ride sharing trips) over total VMT during a typical weekday) | 15.64\% | 15.72\% |
| M2-4 | Transit Commute Share (number of transit commute trips over total commute trips during a typical weekday) | 11.88\% | 12.20\% |
| M2-5 | Non-SOV Person-Trips (the percentage of non-single occupied vehicle person trips (HOVS, transit, walk and bike) during a typical weekday) | 61.94\% | 62.36\% |
| SOCIAL |  |  |  |
| S1 | Travel Convenience |  |  |
| S1-1 | Average Work Trip Time (minutes) | 20.4 | 19.80 |
| S1-2 | Average Work Trip Length (miles) | 7.72 | 7.72 |
| S1-3 | Average Auto Transportation Costs (dollars) | \$8,018 | \$8,015 |
| S1-4 | Percent HOV VMT (Percent of person-miles travelled in HOV lanes in the study area) | 3.41\% | 3.55\% |

Ixxiv Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| S2 | Accessibility |  |  |
| S2-1 | Walk Access to Transit (the percentage of jobs within 10-minute walk to transit.) | 94\% | 94\% |
| S2-2 | Walk Access to Essential Destinations (the percentage of households within 10-minute walk to essential destinations (jobs+retail) | 82\% | 82\% |
| S2-3 | Proximity to Multimodal Hub (Percentage of population within multimodal hub radius) | 28\% | 28\% |
| S2-4 | Access to Major Thoroughfare (the percentage of population within 0.5 -mile distance from highways) | 51\% | 52\% |
| S2-5 | Percent Jobs Within Accessible Transit Shed (average number of jobs that can be reached by a 15 -minute transit ride) | 12.04\% | 12.75\% |
| S3 | Safety |  |  |
| S3-1 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (motorized) (the total number of fatal and injury crashes in an incident involving motorized vehicles) | 7,228 | 7,173 |
| S3-2 | Fatal \& Injury Crashes (non-motorized) (the total number of ped and bike fatal and injury crashes) | 445 | 443 |
| S4 | Equity |  |  |
| S4-1 | Work Trip Sheds (peak) (Percent of work trips with travel time less than 30 minutes over all work trips within EJ TAZs) | 94.92 | 95.23 |
| S4-2 | EJ Population with Walk Access to Destinations (Percentage of EJ population within 0.5 mile /10 min walkable access to office, retail, and transit) | 68\% | 0.68\% |
| S4-3 | Commuting Costs as a \% of Income (the average annual costs for a work trip per household, as percentage of annual household income) | 5.40\% | 4.85\% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL |  |  |  |
| N1 | Air Quality |  |  |
| N1-1 | Total Mobile Emissions in kg/day | 76,532 | 74,413 |
| N2 | GHG Emissions |  |  |
| N2-1 | GHG Emissions (light-duty vehicles) in kg/day | 16,180 | 15,704 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |

Ixxv Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| 11 | Capacity |  |  |
| 11-1 | Roadway Capacity per 1,000 Capita (vehicles per hour per 1000 people) | 20,238 | 20,540 |
| 11-2 | Usage Rate of Public Transit (the rate of daily transit trips over daily transit capacity) | 27\% | 26\% |
| 11-3 | Miles of Bike Lanes per 1,000 Population (the total length of bike network in miles per 1,000 population) | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | Land Use Efficiency |  |  |
| 12-1 | Land Use Diversity within TOD Areas (the extent of land use mix within the study area, ranging from maximally mixed or heterogeneous to maximally homogeneous) | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| 13 | Sustainable Urban Infrastructure |  |  |
| 13-1 | Activity Population per acre (activity population per acre of developed land) | 24.21 | 24.21 |
| 13-2 | \% of Local Trips (the percentage of trips beginning and ending in the same local geographic unit) | 9.95\% | 9.95\% |
| 13-3 | Road and Parking Areas (the percentage of area covered by roads and parking) | 21\% | 21\% |
| ECONOMIC |  |  |  |
| E1 | Job Housing Balance |  |  |
| E1-1 | Job Accessibility (the average percentage of jobs that are accessible within 30 minutes' drive or transit time from any TAZ in the study area.) | 50\% | 52\% |
| E2 | Investment |  |  |
| E2-1 | Infrastructure Cost (the estimated cost for constructing new transportation projects) | N/A | TBD |
| E3 | Freight |  |  |
| E3-1 | Truck VMT (Daily - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled.) | 11.39\% | 12.02\% |
| E3-2 | Truck VMT (Peak Hour - the percentage of truck vehicle mile traveled out of total vehicle mile traveled during peak periods) | 10.26\% | 10.95\% |
| E3-3 | Average Costs per Truck Trip (the average cost of a truck trip in dollars starting or ending in a TAZ within the study area during peak periods) | \$17.28 | \$17.30 |

Ixxvi Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E3-4 | Daily Truck Hours of Delay (the average truck delay in Hours on a typical weekend) | 9.52 | 9.26 |
| E4 | Economic Development |  |  |
| E4-1 | Creation of New Jobs (the number of direct jobs generated from new investments in highway projects. Direct jobs are occupations that work directly on the project.) | - | TBD |
| E4-2 | Average Work Trip Costs per Person (the average costs of commuting in dollars by all modes (auto, TNC and transit) during AM peak periods in a typical weekday) | \$8.29 | \$7.41 |
| E4-3 | New Revenue Sources (annual traffic revenues owing to VMT based fees and cordon-line congestion pricing (wherever enabled).) | - | TBD |
| E5 | New Metrics |  |  |
| E5-1 | Failing LOS_AM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during AM peak periods) | 29 | 29 |
| E5-2 | Failing LOS_PM (Lane-Miles, with a LOS D, E or F during PM peak periods) | 82 | 75 |
| E5-3 | Daily VMT by Speed 1 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 0 to 35 mph ) | 249,910 | 250,650 |
| E5-4 | Daily VMT by Speed 2 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed from 35 to 55 mph ) | 384,854 | 291,176 |
| E5-5 | Daily VMT by Speed 3 (daily VMT in veh*mile for speed above 55 mph ) | 329,150 | 390,430 |
| E5-6 | Daily VMT from HOV (daily VMT in person*mile on HOV lanes in the study area) | 583,081 | 575,543 |
| E5-7 | Daily Non-SOV Trips (daily number of ride-sharing trips, HOVs, transit, walk and bike, not in single occupied vehicles) | 340,531 | 337,649 |
| E5-8 | Daily Transit Trips (Number of daily transit person trips in the study area) | 24,990 | 24,291 |
| E5-9 | Commute Trips by Transit Mode (Average daily number of commute trips using transit in the study area) | 11,192 | 10,481 |
| E5-10 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 15 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 19,619 | 20,143 |
| E5-11 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 30 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 118,965 | 125,929 |
| E5-12 | Number of Regional Jobs Accessible in 45 minutes of Transit Time (Number of jobs) | 223,511 | 229,325 |
| E5-13 | HOV_Lane_VMT_A (total VMT in veh*mile on all HOV lanes in the study area) | 40,318 | 41,660 |
| E5-14 | Daily Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile) | 424,668 | 440,400 |
| E5-15 | Peak Period Truck VMT (in vehicle*mile, during AM and PM peak periods) | 163,975 | 170,890 |

Ixxvii Appendix K-6: City Link East - Key Performance Findings

| ID | Indicator | Configuration Scenarios' KPI Results Study Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 3 - LongTerm Framework (2050) | Scenario 3A - LongTerm Framework w/30\% Telecommute |
| E5-16 | Annual Commute Costs (total annual commute cost in dollars for all workers in the study area) | \$179,008,942 | \$160,067,011 |
| E5-17 | Daily Commute Trips within 30 Minutes in EJ Zone (number of trips) | 57,959 | TBD |
| E5-18 | Daily VMT in Highway Network (veh*mile) | 3,300,536 | 3,247,680 |
| E5-19 | Daily VHT in Highway Network (veh*hour) | 103,706 | 96,965 |
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